Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text, figures, and tables. |
| Chapter 2N | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends retaining the sign numbering and codes for emergency management signs from the 2009 and earlier MUTCDs. Changing these codes will be an unnecessary burden on agencies in terms of inventory, ordering, and tracking, and could result in agencies having inconsistent or non-uniform coding. |
| 2N.01 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2N.01 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2N.02 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2N.02 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revisions as follows:   * Add a figure reference to the first Standard paragraph to define the signs included in the emergency management category * Revise the second Standard paragraph to Guidance and revise wording, because a requirement that all conflicting signs “shall” be covered doesn’t allow needed flexibility if a large number of signs are in place that all need to be covered at once, especially under emergency conditions |
| Figure 2N-1 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising Table 2N-1 by retaining the 2009 MUTCD sign numbering, and adding an Evacuation Route sign with symbol (EM-1b) in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 12A-GMI-10. |
| Table 2N-1 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising Figure 2N-1 by retaining the 2009 MUTCD sign numbering, and adding an Evacuation Route sign with symbol (EM-1b) in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 12A-GMI-10. |
| 2N.03 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2N.03 as presented in the NPA, but recommend revisions as follows in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 12A-GMI-10:  Relocate the first Standard paragraph to the fourth Standard paragraph to consolidate arrow standards on EM-1 signs in one location   * Delete references to white on blue M6 auxiliary plaques with EM-2 series signs, since the EM-2 is black on white and does not need an arrow * Add references to EM-1b signs * Restore 2009 MUTCD sign codes (see general Chapter 2N comment) |
| 2N.04 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2N.04 as presented in the NPA, but using the sign code from the 2009 MUTCD (see general Chapter 2N comment) |
| 2N.05 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2N.05 as presented in the NPA, but using the sign code from the 2009 MUTCD (see general Chapter 2N comment) |
| 2N.06 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2N.06 as presented in the NPA, but using the sign code from the 2009 MUTCD (see general Chapter 2N comment) |
| 2N.07 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2N.07 as presented in the NPA, but using the sign code from the 2009 MUTCD (see general Chapter 2N comment) |
| 2N.08 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2N.08 as presented in the NPA, but using the sign codes from the 2009 MUTCD (see general Chapter 2N comment) |
| 2N.09 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2N.09 as presented in the NPA, but using the sign codes from the 2009 MUTCD (see general Chapter 2N comment) |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |